Journal of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry

of Islamic Azad University of Iran, 6 (2) 79-86: Summer 2009 (J.Phys.Theor.Chem. IAU Iran) ISSN: 1735-2126

# Thermal stability of β-lactoglobulin B in the presence of sucrose, sorbitol and trehalose as osmolytes

Zohreh Saadati<sup>1</sup>, Karim Zare<sup>3</sup>, Abdol-Khalegh Bordbar<sup>2</sup> and Hossein Aghaie<sup>3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ph. D. Student, Department of Chemistry, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, 81746-73441, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

## ABSTRACT

Thermal denaturation of  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin type B in the absence and presence of various concentrations of trehalose, sucrose and sorbitol as sugar osmolytes and polyols were measured by monitoring changes in the absorption coefficients at pH 2.0. These measurements gave values of  $T_m$  (midpoint of denaturation),  $\Delta H_m$  (enthalpy change at  $T_m$ ), and  $\Delta Cp$  (constant-pressure heat capacity change) under a given solvent condition. Using these values of  $\Delta H_m$ ,  $T_m$  and  $\Delta C_p$ ,  $\Delta G_D^{\circ}$  (Gibbs energy change), was determined at a given concentration of each sugar. It has been observed that each sugar stabilizes the  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin B in terms of  $T_m$  and  $\Delta G_D^{\circ}$ . The temperature that corresponds to maximum protein stability,  $T_s$ , is increased in the presence of these osmolytes. The same trend was also observed for  $T_H$ , the temperature corresponding to zero enthalpy change of denaturation.

Keywords: Protein stability; Sugar osmolytes; Thermal denaturation; β-lactoglobulin B

## INTRODUCTION

 $\beta$ -Lactoglobulin ( $\beta$ -lg), the major protein of bovine whey, is a 162-amino acid - containing globular protein with a molar mass (MW) of 18362  $g.mol^{-1}$ , and well-established primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures. Although the physiological function of  $\beta$ -lg is not clear,  $\beta$ -lg belongs to the lipocalin superfamily sharing the common  $\beta$ -barrel calyx structural feature arranged as an ideal site for hydrophobic ligands [1-3]. β-Lg is composed of antiparallel  $\beta$ -sheets formed by nine strands labeled A to I, and one α-helix as determined by X-rav crystallography [4]. The tertiary structure of  $\beta$ -lg is strongly stabilized by two disulfide bonds (Cys66-Cys160 and Cys106–Cys119), which seem to play an important role in the reversibility of  $\beta$ -lg denaturation [5]. In 1955, it was found that bovine  $\beta$ -lg existed in two genetic forms that differed slightly in their electrophoretic behaviour on paper at pH 8.6. These

forms are called  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin A ( $\beta$ -lgA) and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin B ( $\beta$ -lgB) [6]. Although, several other  $\beta$ -lg genetic variants exist, A and B are predominant. Variant A differs in amino acid sequence from variant B at position 64 (AspA $\rightarrow$ GlyB) and 118 (ValA $\rightarrow$ AlaB). These differences result in distinct biophysical and biochemical properties of the variants, such as heat stability, self association properties and solubility [7].

Considerable time in nearly all fields of biochemical sciences is devoted to improving protein stability, which is the result of a balance between the intramolecular interactions of protein functional groups and their interaction with solvent environment [8-10]. Naturally occurring osmolytes are co-solvents that are used to protect organisms from denaturation by harsh

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: hn\_aghaie@yahoo.com

environmental stresses. These molecules stabilise proteins, not by interacting with them directly but by altering the solvent properties of the surrounding water and hence the proteinsolvent interactions[10]. Their effect seems to be general for all proteins. They have no inhibitory or enhancing effects on biological activity under physiological conditions hence are called compatible osmolyte [9,11]. Stabilizing osmolytes are chemically diverse and include such chemical classes as polyols, certain amino acids and their derivatives, and methylamine compounds [11]. There are various mechanisms that have been used to explain the observation on the effect of osmolytes on the protein denaturation equilibrium, native (N) state  $\leftrightarrow$ denatured (D) state [12-15]. The most widely used mechanism is due to Timasheff [14]. According to this mechanism osmolytes stabiliseNstate because they are preferentially excluded from the protein surface, for the preferential exclusion increases the chemical potential of the protein proportionately to solvent exposed surface area. Thus, by Le Chatelier's principle, osmolytes favour the more compact state, i.e., the N state over the structurally expanded state, i.e., D state. Hence according to this mechanism  $\Delta G_D$ , the Gibbs free energy change associated with the denaturation process, N state  $\leftrightarrow$  D state, should increase in the presence of osmolytes, for  $\Delta G_D = -RTln([D]/[N])$ , where square bracket represents concentration. The most recent mechanism of stabilisation of proteins by osmolytes is due to Bolen and coworkers [15]. According to this mechanism osmolytes stabilise N state because of their overwhelming unfavourable interaction with the peptide backbone. Thus, this "osmophobic effect" favours the N state over the D state of proteins. Hence, according to this mechanism  $\Delta G_D$  should increase in the presence of osmolvtes.

Previous studies showed that osmolytes such as sugar and polyols effect on denaturation and have found that sugar and polyols have a stabilizing effect, increasing thermal denaturation temperature of  $\beta$ -lg and other globular proteins [16-25]. The main conclusion of these studies is that all osmolytes act independently on the protein, i.e., none of the osmolytes alters the efficacy of the other in forcing the protein to fold or unfold.

In the present work, the roles of trehalose, sucrose and sorbitol as sugar osmolytes on the thermodynamic stability of  $\beta$ -lactoglobulins B during heat stress have been extensively studied at various sugar concentrations.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION Chemicals

Commercially lyophilized bovine  $\beta$ -lg B was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. Glycine was from Merck. D-sorbitol, D-mannitol, Dtrehalose and D-sucrose were also obtained from Sigma. All of the used chemicals were analytical-grade reagents and used without further purification. Protein stock solutions were filtered using 0.45 µm milipore filter paper. The concentration of  $\beta$ -lgB was determined experimentally using a value of 17600 M<sup>-1</sup>.cm<sup>-1</sup> for the molar absorption coefficient ( $\epsilon$ ) at 280 nm and pH 2.0. For optical measurements all solutions were prepared in 0.05 M glycine buffer at pH 2.0 and 25 °C.

#### Thermal Denaturation of β-lgB

Thermal denaturation studies were carried out in a Cary 300 UV-vis spectrophotometer with a heating rate of 0.5 °C/min. The requirement for equilibrium conditions was achieved by this scan rate. Each sample was heated from 20 to 95 °C. The change in absorbance of  $\beta$ -lg A at a fixed concentration of each osmolyte with increasing temperature was followed at 293 nm. The basic observation was a heat-induced transition curve, i.e. a plot of an optical property against temperature. To obtain values of T<sub>m</sub> (the midpoint of the transition curve) and  $\Delta H_m$  (the enthalpy change upon denaturation at T<sub>m</sub>), a nonlinear least-squares analysis was used to fit all the data points of the transition curve according to this relation [26]:

$$y(T) = \frac{y_N(T) + y_N(T) \exp[-\frac{\Delta H_m}{R}(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_m})]}{1 + \exp[-\frac{\Delta H_m}{R}(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_m})]}$$
(1)

Where y(T) is the optical property at temperature  $y_N(T)$  and  $y_D(T)$  are T(K), the optical properties of the native and denatured protein molecules at T, respectively, and R is the gas constant. In the analysis of the transition curve, it was assumed that a parabolic function describes the dependence of the optical properties of the native and denatured protein molecules (i.e.,  $y_N(T) = a_N + b_N T + c_N T^2$  and  $y_D(T) = a_D + b_D T + c_D T^2$ , where a<sub>N</sub>, b<sub>N</sub>, c<sub>N</sub>, a<sub>D</sub>, b<sub>D</sub>, and c<sub>D</sub> are temperatureindependent coefficients) [27, 28]. A plot of  $\Delta H_m$ versus  $T_m$  gave the value of  $\Delta C_p$ , the temperatureindependent heat capacity change at constant pressure.  $\Delta G_D(T)$ , the value of  $\Delta G_D$  at any temperature T was estimated using Gibbs-Helmholtz equation with values of  $T_m$ ,  $\Delta H_m$  and

$$\Delta C_{\rm p}, \ \Delta G = \Delta H_m (1 - \frac{T}{T_m}) - \Delta C_P [(T_m - T) + T \ln \frac{T}{T_m}] \quad (2)$$

## RESULTS

All denaturation curves were measured at least three times. Fig. 1 shows the representative denaturation curves of  $\beta$ -lg B in the presence and absence of trehalose, sucrose and sorbitol. To convert the reversible heat-induced optical transition data into thermodynamic parameters, the following assumptions were made. First, the transition between N and D states follows a twostate mechanism. Second, the temperature dependencies of  $Y_N$  and  $Y_D$  are parabolic. Third, osmolytes have no effect on the conformational  $\Delta C_P$  of  $\beta$ -lgB. Making use of the first two assumptions, the thermal transition curves were analysed according to eqn (1), and the analysis yielded values of  $T_{\rm m}$  and  $\Delta H_{\rm m}$  with their uncertainties. Data fitting was done using Sigma Plot 10 software [29].

The denaturation results in 0.75 and 1.0 M trehalose could not be analysed to estimate fitting parameters due to high dispersion of the experimental points. It seems the assumptions that have been made for analysing the transition curve failed under these conditions. The values of  $T_{\rm m}$ ,  $\Delta T_{\rm m}$ ,  $\Delta H_{\rm m}$  and  $\Delta \Delta H_{\rm m}$  (the difference between  $\Delta H_{\rm m}$  in he presence and absence of osmolytes) for  $\beta$ -lg B in the presence of different concentrations of trehalose, sucrose and sorbitol are collected in Table 1.



Fig. 1. Thermal denaturation curves of β-lactoglobulin B in the absence and presence of Trehalose (A), Sucrose (B) and Sorbitol (C), buffer
(♦), 0.25 M (◊), 0.5 M (▲), 0.75 M (△), and 1M (■).

Making use of the third assumption (independence of  $\Delta C_P$  from osmolyte concentration), we plotted  $\Delta H_{\rm m}$  as a function of  $T_{\rm m}$  at each fixed concentration of an osmolyte. The value of 5.30 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup> obtained for  $\Delta C_P$  of  $\beta$ -lg B. Thermal stability curve, i.e., the variation of  $\Delta G_{\rm D}(T)$  versus T, was constructed for  $\beta$ -lg B in the presence of various concentrations of osmolytes and shown in Fig. 2. Tables 1. present the values of  $\Delta G_D^{\circ}$  (Gibbs free energy change at 25 °C) at different concentrations of trehalose, sucrose and sorbitol for  $\beta$ -lg B. This table also shows  $\%\Delta\Delta G_D^\circ$ , the percent change in  $\Delta G_D^\circ$ of the protein due the presence of sugars(s);  $\%\Delta\Delta G_D^{o} = 100 \ [\Delta G_D^{o} \ (in the presence of$ sugar(s)) -  $\Delta G_D^{o}$  (in the absence of sugar)]  $/\Delta G_D^o$  (in the absence of sugar).

The value of  $T_S$  was obtained exactly from Fig. 2 (temperature of the maximum point in plot of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  against T). The values of  $T_S$  and  $\Delta H_S$  were used in eqn (3) to estimate  $T_H$ , the temperature at which the enthalpy changes of denaturation equals zero [30].

$$T_{\rm H} = T_{\rm S} - (\Delta H_{\rm S} / \Delta C_{\rm p})$$
(3)

Since  $\Delta H$  at T<sub>S</sub> ( $\Delta H_S$ ) is equal to  $\Delta G$  at ( $\Delta Gs$ ; the maximum of  $\Delta G$ ) according to  $\Delta G$ 

 $T_S = \Delta H - T\Delta S$  with  $\Delta S = 0$  at Ts, eqn (3) may be simplified to

 $T_{\rm H} = T_{\rm S} - (\Delta G_{\rm S} / \Delta C_{\rm p}) \tag{4}$ 

Another important thermodynamic parameter that can be determined from thermal stability profiles is  $T_{G'}$ , the temperature at which the Gibbs energy change of denaturation is zero but the entropy change of denaturation is negative.  $T_{G'}$  characterizes the cold denaturation of a protein and can be derived from continuing the left side of the thermal stability curve. This parameter was estimated from the following equation[31]:

$$T_{G}' = \frac{T_{m}^{2}}{3T_{m} - 2T_{H}}$$
(5)

The estimated values of  $T_{\rm m}$  and  $T_{\rm H}$  were used to determine  $T_{\rm G}'$  with the help of eqns (5). The values of  $T_{\rm G}'$ ,  $\Delta T_{\rm G}'$  ( the difference between  $T_{\rm G}'$  in he precence and absence of osmolytes),  $T_{\rm H}$ ,  $\Delta T_{\rm H}$  (the difference between  $T_{\rm H}$  in he precence and absence of osmolytes),  $T_{\rm S}$  and  $\Delta T_{\rm S}$  thus obtained at various concentrations of osmolytes are given in Tables 2. for  $\beta$ -lg B.

**Table 1.** Stability parameters of  $\beta$ -lgB in the presence of various concentrations of sugar osmolytesand polyols at pH 2.0

Osmolytes	М	T <sub>m</sub> /K	$\Delta T_{\rm m}/{\rm K}$	$\Delta H_m(kJ.mol^{-1})$	$\Delta\Delta H_m(kJ.mol^{-1})$	$\Delta G_D^{0}(kJ.mol^{-1})$	%ΔΔG <sub>D</sub> °
Control	0.00	348.2±1.2	0.0	411.2±2.3	0.00	39.9±0.6	0.00
Trehalose	0.25	350.2±1.7	2.0	416.9±2.4	5.70	$40.4 \pm 0.6$	1.30
	0.50	352.0±1.3	3.8	424.7±2.3	14.0	41.9±0.7	5.00
Sucrose	0.25	349.7±1.3	1.5	414.8±2.3	3.60	40.3±0.6	1.00
	0.50	351.5±1.5	3.3	422.4±2.4	11.0	41.5±0.6	4.00
	0.75	352.6±1.2	4.4	427.7±2.1	17.0	42.5±0.5	6.50
	1.00	354.6±1.3	6.4	443.1±2.6	32.0	45.3±0.4	14.0
Sorbitol	0.25	349.6±1.2	1.4	414.2±2.5	3.00	40.1±0.6	0.50
	0.50	351.4±1.6	3.2	421.9±2.3	11.0	41.4±0.7	3.80
	0.75	352.7±1.4	4.5	428.0±2.4	17.0	42.4±0.5	6.30
	1.00	354.0±1.3	5.8	435.5±2.6	24.0	43.9±0.4	10.0



Fig. 2. Thermal stability curves for  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin B in the Trehalose (A), in the presence of Sucrose (B), and Sorbitol (C). The points joined by continuous lines correspond to the experimental measurements and the dashed lines have been calculated using eqn (2).

## DISCUSSION

All thermodynamic quantities, given in Table 1 were obtained from the analysis of heat denaturation curves of  $\beta$ -lg B in the presence and absence of different sugars (e.g., see Figs. 1). This analysis according to eq. (1) assumes that the transition between the native and denatured states is a two-state process. Most authors state that the  $\beta$ -lg unfolding can be represented by a two state reversible transition between native and unfolded states N $\rightarrow$ U in the presence of osmolytes, polyols and etc. [21, 32-37]. It can be seen in Fig. 1 that y<sub>D</sub> has a stronger dependency on temperature and osmolyte concentration than  $y_N$ , suggesting that osmolytes are more effective on the denatured state of  $\beta$ -lg B. In other words, osmolytes affect the denatured state of the protein more than its native state, leading to a change in protein stability. This case is more obvious for sorbitol and sucrose than for trehalose. It seems that the effect of trehalose follows another mechanism.

Moreover, our curves in Fig. 1 shows that at the conditions of this study, the transition can be assumed as a change between two states and an intermediate state is not clear in this case.

Calculated denaturation temperatures show that  $T_m$  for  $\beta$ -lg B in buffer are 348.2 K. Recently, Chanasattru[38] showed the T<sub>m</sub> value of the  $\beta$  -lg solutions without co solvent was 347.15 K and shifted to 349.15 and 359.15 K with the presence of 50 wt.% glycerol and 50 wt.% sorbitol, respectively. This result is also in good agreement with the data reported by Apenten and Galani [39,40] who gave value 81.2 °C for  $\beta$ -lg in 0.05M glycine –HCl buffer pH 2.6. Lapanje [41] found T<sub>m</sub> to be 83.2°C,  $\Delta H_{\rm m}$  (414 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>), and  $\Delta G_{\rm D}^{\rm o}$ (41 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>) for  $\beta$ -lg. The values of  $\Delta G_D^{\circ}$  have been determined by substitution of corresponding values of  $\Delta H_m$ ,  $T_m$  and  $\Delta C_P$  into eq. (3).

It is seen in Fig. 1 (also see Tables 1) that  $T_m$  of  $\beta$ -lg B at pH 2.0 increase linearly with an increase in the concentration of individual The  $\Delta H_m$  values of many proteins sugar. remain unchanged in the presence of various osmolytes [22, 23, 42-47]. We have also observed that the  $\Delta H_m$  of  $\beta$ -lg B in the presence of different sugars shows dependence insignificant on type and concentration of the sugar. This and earlier observations suggest that sugar osmolytes have no significant affinity on the protein.

We have determined  $\Delta C_P = (\partial \Delta H_m / \partial T_m)p$ from the linear plot of  $\Delta H_m$  and  $T_m$  values at pH 2.0. The value of  $\Delta Cp$  in the presence of different concentrations of sugars is 5.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>K<sup>-1</sup> for  $\beta$ -lg B. A DSC (differential scanning calorimetry) study of thermal and cold denaturation of  $\beta$ -lg was reported that in aqueous solutions at pH 2.0 (0.1 *M* KCl/HCl)  $\Delta C_P = 5.58 \pm 0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$  [48].

Our previous calculated parameters of  $\beta$ -lg A showed that  $\Delta G_D^o$  values of native  $\beta$ -lgB [49]. Thus, it can be concluded that native  $\beta$ -lgA has a higher thermal stability relative to native  $\beta$ -lgB. These data are in a good agreement with previous reports which suggest that the difference in the thermal behavior of  $\beta$ -lgA and  $\beta$ -lgB can be explained by the destabilization of the core of the  $\beta$ -lgB relative to  $\beta$ -lg -A, leaving a cavity formed by the loss of the two methyl groups as a result of the substitution ValA $\rightarrow$ AlaB [34, 50, 51].

The effect of sugars on protein stability have been explained in terms of preferential more favourable than the corresponding interaction with non-polar groups [55, 56]. Thus stabilizing/destabilizing osmolytes will be preferentially excluded/accumulated around protein backbone. This prediction is consistent with the thermodynamics of preferential interaction of stabilizing and destabilizing osmolytes [54, 57 and 58]. This new molecular mechanism for osmolyte-induced protein stability also predicts that osmolytes having the same fraction of the polar contact surface area will have the same effect on the protein denaturation equilibrium [55].

 $T_{G}'$ increases with rising sugars concentration. It means that the cold resistance of  $\beta$ -lg B decrease with rising sugars concentration. Changes in T<sub>H</sub> show an increase at all concentrations of sugar osmolytes. Following Baldwin's suggestion binding and preferential exclusion of these cosolutes [10, 14, 52], which is supported by recent observations on the transfer-free energy of protein groups from the solvent water to the co-solvent aqueous solutions [53]. Both Timasheff's and Bolen's group have argued that the source of stabilization of protein by sugars is the shifting of denaturation equilibrium towards the N state [53, 54]. Thus, what effects co-solvents will have on the denaturation equilibrium, N state  $\leftrightarrow$  D state under the native condition will be known only by measuring  $\Delta G_D^{\circ}$ . It is seen from Tables 1 that the effect of sugars on  $\Delta G_D^{\circ}$  of protein increases with increasing sugar concentrations at pH 2.0. It is seen that the  $\%\Delta\Delta G_D^{o}$ increases with the molar concentration of the additive.

 $\label{eq:table 2. The values of $T_G', \Delta T_G', T_H, \Delta T_H, T_S$ and $\Delta T_S$ associated with thermal denaturation of $\beta$-lgB in the absence and presence of various concentrations of sugar osmolytes and polyols}$ 

Osmolytes	М	$T_G'/K$	$\Delta T_{G}'/K$	$T_{\rm H}/K$	$\Delta T_{\rm H}/K$	$T_S/K$	$\Delta$ T <sub>S</sub> /K
Control	0.00	240.4±1.1	0.0	270.1±1.1	0.0	274.9±1.8	0.0
Trehalose	0.25	240.9±1.5	0.5	270.8±1.3	0.7	275.8±1.3	0.9
	0.50	241.3±1.6	0.9	271.3±1.2	1.2	276.2±1.4	1.3
Sucrose	0.25	241.0±1.2	0.6	270.8±1.4	0.7	275.6±1.7	0.7
	0.50	241.5±1.4	1.1	271.4±1.2	1.3	276.3±1.3	1.4
	0.75	241.6±1.4	1.2	271.5±1.3	1.4	276.5±1.3	1.6
	1.00	241.1±1.6	0.7	271.1±1.3	1.0	276.5±1.5	1.6
	0.25	240.9±1.3	0.5	270.7±1.5	0.6	275.6±1.4	0.7
	0.50	241.4±1.2	1.0	271.3±1.3	1.2	276.2±1.7	1.3
Sorbitol	0.75	241.5±1.3	1.1	271.4±1.4	1.3	276.4±1.8	1.5
	1.00	241.1±1.4	0.7	271.1±1.3	1.0	276.3±1.3	1.4

Although, there is no universal molecular theory that can explain the mechanism by which these stabilizing osmolytes interact with proteins to affect their stability. However, a new mechanism based on the observation of transfer-free energy of the protein backbone from water to aqueous osmolyte solution predicts that the interaction between the protein backbone and osmolytes polar group is that a protein has the least solubility at  $T_{\rm H}$  [59], it seems that sugar osmolytes increases the solubility of  $\beta$ -lactoglobulins B all at concentrations. The temperature at which  $\beta$ -lg B have the most stability,  $T_s$ , follows the same

## REFERENCES

- S. Brownlow, J.H.M. Cabral, R. Cooper, D.R. Flower, S.J. Yewdall, I. Polikarpov, A.C.T. North, and [1] S. Brownlow, J.H.M. Cabral, R. Cooper, D.R. Flower, S.J. Yewdall, I. Polikarpov, A.C.T. North, and L. Sawyer, Structure, 5, (1997), 481.
- [2] L. Sawyer, and G. Kontopidis, Biochem. Biophys. Acta, 1482, (2000), 136.
- [3] G. Kontopidis, G. Holt, and L. Sawyer, J. Mol. Biol. 318, (2002), 1043.
- [4] M.Z. Papiz, L. Sawyer, E.E. Eliopoulos, A.C.T. North, J.B.C. Findlay, R. Sivaprasadarao, T.A. Jones, M.E. Newcomer, and P.J. Kraulis, Nature, 324, (1986), 383.
- [5] N. Kitabatake, R. Wada, and Y. Fujita, J. Agric. Food Chem. 49, (2001), 4011.
- [6] R. Townsend, Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 109 (1965) 1.
- [7] K.M.G. Oliveira, V.L. Valente-Mesquita, M.M. Botelho, L. Sawyer, S.T.Ferreira, I. Polikarpov, Eur. J. Biochem. 268 (2001) 477.
- [8] Q. Zou, B.J. Bennion, V. Daggett, K.P. Murphy, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 124 (2002) 1192.
- [9] P.H. Yancey, M.E. Clark, S.C. Hand, R.D. Bowlus, G.N. Somero, Science 217 (1982) 1212.
- [10] S.N. Timasheff, Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 22 (1993) 67.

trend as  $T_H$ . It can be asserted that  $T_S$  is related to the rate of  $\Delta G_D$  changes with temperature. Therefore, the more  $T_S$  increases, the more the rate of change of  $\Delta G_D$  increases with temperature. On the other hand, the sensitivity of the thermodynamic stability of the protein increases with temperature. Of course, one should be careful in this interpretation, because this comment holds true as long as  $T_m$ is constant. Ravanmehr and Bordbar have reported similar results about stabilization of yeast alcohol dehydrogenase in the presence of sugar osmolytes[60].

- [11] P.H. Yancey, Sci. Prog. 87 (2004) 1.
- [12] A.J. Saunders, P.R. Davis-Searles, D.L. Allen, G.J. Pielak, D.A. Erie, Biopolymers 53 (2002) 293.
- [13] G.T. Weatherly, G.J. Pielak, Protein Sci. 10 (2001) 12.
- [14] S.N. Timasheff, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 99 (2002) 9721.
- [15] D.W. Bolen, I.V. Baskakov, J. Mol. Biol. 310 (2001) 955.
- [16] Z. Saadati, A.Kh. Bordbar, Protein J. 27 (2008) 455.
- [17] L. D'Alfonso, M. Collini, G. Baldini, Eur J Biochem. 270 (2003) 2497.
- [18] JK. Kaushik, R. Bath, J Phys Chem. 102 (1998) 7058.
- [19] YS. Kim, LS. Jones, A. Dong, BS. Kendrick, BS. Chang, MC. Manning, TW. Randolph, JF. Carpenter, Protein Sci. 12 (2003) 1252.
- [20] A. Kulmyrzaev, C. Bryant, DJ. McClements, J Agric Food Chem. 48 (2000) 1593.
- [21] CM. Romero, JM. Lozano, J. Sancho, GI. Giraldo, Int J Biol Macromol. 40 2007) 423.
- [22] G. Xie, SN. Timasheff, Protein Sci. 6 (1997) 222.
- [23] G. Xie, SN. Timasheff, Protein Sci. 6 (1997) 211.

- [24] S. Y. Gerlsema, E. R. Stuur, Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 4 (1972) 377.
- [25] L.M. Holthauzen, D.W. Bolen, Protein Sci. 16 (2007) 293.
- [26] V. Rishi, F.Anjum, F.Ahmad, W. Pfeil, Biochem. J. 329 (1998) 137.
- [27] A. Sinha, S. Yadav, R. Ahmad, F. Ahmad, Biochem. J. 345 (2000) 711.
- [28] S.Yadav, F. Ahmad, Anal. Biochem. 283 (2000) 207.
- [29] http://www.spss.com/software/science/sigma plot/, and for more information refer to http://www.spssscience.com.
- [30] A. A. Saboury and A. A. Moosavi-Movahedi, Biochemical Education 23 (1995), 164.
- [31] P.L.Privalov, V.Griko, S. Venyaminov, V.P. Kutyshenko, J. Mol. Biol. 190 (1986) 487.
- [32] T. Ali Dar, LR. Singh, F. Ahmad, Biophys Chem. 127 (2007) 140.
- [33] L. D'Alfonso, M. Collini, G. Baldini, Biochemistry 41 (2002) 326.
- [34] A. Divsalar, AA. Saboury, AA. Moosavi-Movahedi, H. Mansoori-Torshizi, Int J Biol Macromol. 38 (2006) 9.
- [35] BK. Lee, T. Shimanouchi, H. Umakoshi, R. Kuboi, Biochem Eng J. 28 (2006) 79.
- [36] N. Nami Hirota, G. Yuji, Bioorg Med Chem. 7 (1999) 67.
- [37] VN. Uversky, NV. Narizhneva, SO. Kirschstein, S. Winter, G. LoE' ber, Folding Design, 2 (1997) 163.
- [38] W. Chanasattru, E. A. Decker, DJ. McClements, Food Chemistry, Food Chemistry, 103 (2007) 512.
- [39] R.K.O. Apenten, S. Khokhar, D. Galani, Food Hydrocoll. 16 (2002) 95.
- [40] D. Galani, R.K.O. Apenten, Thermochim. Acta 363 (2000) 137.
- [41] S. Lapanje, N. Poklar, Biophysical Chemistry 34 (1989) 155.
- [42] G. Xie, S.N. Timasheff, Biophys. Chem. 64 (1997) 25.

- [43] J.K. Kaushik, R. Bhat, J. Phys. Chem. B 102 (1998) 7058.
- [44] K. Gekko, T. Morikawa, J. Biochem. 90 (1981) 51.
- [45] J.K. Kaushik, R. Bhat, J. Biol. Chem. 278 (2003) 26458.
- [46] I. Haque, R. Singh, A.A. Moosavi-Movahedi, F. Ahmad, Biophys. Chem. 117 (2005) 1.
- [47] M.M. Santoro, Y. Liu, S.M. Khan, L.X. Hou, D.W. Bolen, Biochemistry 31 (1992) 5278.
- [48] Y.V. Griko, P.L. Privalov, Biochemistry 31(1992) 8810.
- [49] Z. Saadat, M. Aghaie and A. K. Bordbar Journal of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, 6(1), (2009), 47.
- [50] A. Divsalar, AA. Saboury, AA. Moosavi-Movahedi, Bulletin of the Korean Chemical Sociey 27 (2006), 1801.
- [51] A. Divsalar, AA. Saboury, AA. Moosavi-Movahedi, Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics, 25 (2007), 173.
- [52] S.N. Timasheff, Biochemistry, 41 (2002) 13473.
- [53] Y. Liu, D.W. Bolen, Biochemistry, 34 (1995) 12884.
- [54] J.C. Lee, S.N. Timasheff, J. Biol. Chem. 256 (1981) 7193.
- [55] TO. Street, DW. Bolen, GD. Rose, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 103 (2006) 13397.
- [56] M. Auton, D.W. Bolen, J. Ro<sup>--</sup>sgen, Proteins 73 (2008) 802.
- [57] P.H. Yancey, Biologist, 50 (2003) 126.
- [58] S.N. Timasheff, Biochemistry, 31 (1992) 9857.
- [59] R.L. Baldwin, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA. 83 (1986) 8069.
- [60] V. Ravanmehr, A.Kh. Bordbar, M. Miroliaei, A. Taheri-Kafrani, Journal of Biological Physics and Chemistry, 8 (2008) 3.